arXiv:1608.03996v1 [math.OA] 13 Aug 2016

LIE DERIVATIONS ON THE ALGEBRAS OF LOCALLY MEASURABLE OPERATORS

VLADIMIR CHILIN AND ILKHOM JURAEV

Abstract. We prove that every Lie derivation on a solid $*$ - subalgebras of locally measurable operators it is equal to a sum of the associative derivation and the center-valued trace.

1. Introduction

Let A be an arbitrary associative algebra and $Z(A)$ be the center of the algebra A. A linear operator $D : A \to A$ is called (an associative) derivation if it satisfies the identity $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$ (Leibniz rule). For any derivation $D : A \to A$ the inclusion $D(Z(A)) \subset Z(A)$ holds. Every element $a \in A$ defines a derivation D_a on A given by $D_a(x) = ax - xa = [a, x]$, $x \in A$. This derivation D_a is said to be the inner derivation.

A linear operator $L : A \to A$ is called the *Lie derivation*, if $L([x, y]) = [L(x), y] + [x, L(y)]$ for all $x, y \in A$. It is obvious that every an associative derivation $D : A \to A$ is a Lie derivation. The example of non-associative Lie derivations is the center-valued trace $E : A \to Z(A)$, i.e. a linear map $E : A \to Z(A)$ such that $E(xy) = E(yx)$ for all $x, y \in A$.

It is well known that any Lie derivation of L on a C^* - algebra A can be uniquely represented in the form $L = D + E$, where D is (an associative) derivation and E is a center-valued trace on A [13]. Such representation of the Lie derivation L is called the standard form of L. In case when A is a von Neumann algebra the standard form of the Lie derivation $L : A \to A$ has the form $L = D_a + E$ for some $a \in A$ [15].

Development of the theory of algebras of measurable operators $S(M)$ and of algebras of locally measurable operators $LS(M)$ affiliated with von Neumann algebras or AW^* algebras M (see for example [6], [14], [17], [18], [20], [21], [23]) provided an opportunity to construct and to study new meaning examples of $*$ -algebras of unbounded operators.

One of the interesting problem is to describe all derivations which act in the algebras $S(M)$ and $LS(M)$. In case when M is a commutative von Neumann algebra the equality $S(M) = LS(M)$ holds. In [3] it is proved that any derivation in $S(M)$ is inner i.e. trivial, if and only if M is an atomic algebra. For a commutative AW^* - algebra M the criterion for the existence of nonzero derivations in $S(M)$ is the lack of the σ-distributive property of Boolean algebra of all projections of M [11].

Date: August 09, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L52 (primary), 16W25, 47B47, 47L60 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. von Neumann algebras, locally measurable operator, derivation, Lie derivation, center-valued trace.

In case of type I von Neumann algebra all derivations on the algebras $LS(M)$ and $S(M)$ are described in [1]. In case when M is a properly infinite von Neumann algebra it is proved in [5] that any derivation on $LS(M)$ and $S(M)$ are inner.

Following the approach of [15], in this paper we present the standard form of the Lie derivation acting on an arbitrarily solid $*$-subalgebras of $LS(M)$, which contains M.

We use terminology and notations from the von Neumann algebra theory [8], [19], [22] and the theory of locally measurable operators [14], [20], [23].

2. Preliminaries

Let H be a complex Hilbert space over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of all complex numbers and let $B(H)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H. Let M be a von Neumann subalgebra in $B(H)$ and let $\mathcal{P}(M)$ be the lattice of all projections in M, i. e. $\mathcal{P}(M) = \{p \in M : p^2 = p = p^*\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(M)$ the sublattice of all finite projections of $\mathcal{P}(M)$. Let $Z(M)$ be the center of algebra M and $\mathbf{1}$ be the identity in M.

A linear subspace $\mathcal{D}$ in H is said to be *affiliated* with M (denoted as $\mathcal{D}\ \eta\ M$), if $u(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ for every unitary operator u from the commutant

$$M' = \{y \in B(H) : xy = yx, \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in M\}$$

of the von Neumann algebra M.

A linear subspace $\mathcal{D}$ in H is said to be strongly dense in H with respect to the von Neumann algebra M, if $\mathcal{D}\ \eta\ M$ and there exists a sequence of projections $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{P}(M)$, such that $p_n \uparrow \mathbf{1}$, $p_n(H) \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $p_n^{\perp} := \mathbf{1} - p_n \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(M)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of all natural numbers.

A linear operator x on H with a dense domain $\mathcal{D}(x)$ is said to be *affiliated* with M (denoted as $x\ \eta\ M$) if $\mathcal{D}(x)\ \eta\ M$ and $ux(\xi) = xu(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(x)$ and for every unitary operator $u \in M'$.

A closed linear operator x acting in the Hilbert space H is said to be measurable with respect to the von Neumann algebra M, if $x\ \eta\ M$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ is strongly dense in H. By $S(M)$ we denote the set of all measurable operators with respect to M.

The set $S(M)$ is a a unital $*$-algebra with respect algebraic operations of strong addition and multiplication and taking the adjoint of an operator (it is assumed that the multiplication by a scalar defined as usual wherein $0 \cdot x = 0$) [21].

A closed linear operator x in H is said to be *locally measurable with respect to the von Neumann algebra* M, if $x\ \eta\ M$ and there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of central projections in M such that $z_n \uparrow \mathbf{1}$ and $xz_n \in S(M)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The set $LS(M)$ of all locally measurable operators with respect to M also is a unital $*$-algebra equipped with the algebraic operations of strong addition and multiplication and taking the adjoint of an operator. In this case, $S(M)$ and M is a $*$-subalgebras in $LS(M)$ [14, Ch. II, §2.3]. Center $Z(LS(M))$ in the $*$-algebra $LS(M)$ coincides with the $*$-algebra $S(Z(M))$. In the case when M is a factor or M is finite von Neumann algebra, the equality $LS(M) = S(M)$ holds.

Let x be a closed operator with the dense domain $\mathfrak{D}(x)$ in H, let $x = u|x|$ be the polar decomposition of the operator x, where $|x| = (x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and u is a partial isometry in $B(H)$ such that u^*u is the right support $r(x)$ of x. It is known that $x \in LS(\mathcal{M})$ (respectively, $x \in S(\mathcal{M})$) if and only if $|x| \in LS(\mathcal{M})$ (respectively, $|x| \in S(\mathcal{M})$) and $u \in \mathcal{M}$ [14, Ch. II, §§ 2.2, 2.3].

A $*$-subalgebra A in $LS(M)$ is called the solid $*$-subalgebra of $LS(M)$, if $MAM \subset A$, i.e. $axb \in A$ for any $a, b \in M$ and $x \in A$. It is known that a $*$-subalgebra A of $LS(M)$ is solid if and only if the condition $x \in LS(M)$, $y \in A$, $|x| \leq |y|$ implies that $x \in A$ (see for example, [2]). The examples of solid $*$-subalgebras of $LS(M)$ are $*$-subalgebras M and $S(M)$.

3. Standard decomposition of Lie derivations

In this section the standard decomposition of a Lie derivation acting in a solid $*$-subalgebra A in $LS(M)$ containing M is established. More precisely, we have the following

Theorem 1. *Let A be a solid $*$- subalgebra in $LS(M)$ containing M, and L be a Lie derivation on A. Then there exist (associative) derivation $D : A \to A$ and center-valued trace $E : A \to Z(A)$ such that*

$$L(x) = D(x) + E(x) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Remark 2. *In the case of algebras $LS(M)$ and $S(M)$ the standard decomposition (3.1) for the Lie derivation L in general is not unique. For example, if M is a commutative von Neumann algebra without atoms, there are uncountably many distinct associative derivations $D : S(M) \to S(M)$* [3], [4], *which are at the same time $S(M)$ - valued traces. Therefore, taking two different nonzero derivations D and D_1 on $S(M)$, we have that for a zero Lie derivation the equality*

$$L = D + (-D) = D_1 + (-D_1)$$

holds, i. e. the standard decomposition for L is not unique.

Remark 3. *If M is a commutative von Neumann algebra, then the $*$-algebra $LS(M)$ is also commutative* [14, Ch. II, §2.2], *and therefore for any $*$ - subalgebra A in $LS(M)$, we have that $Z(A) = A$. Hence, in this case, the class of Lie derivations on A coincides with the class $Z(A)$-valued trace on A.*

For the prove Theorem 1, we first consider the case when the von Neumann algebra M has no direct commutative summands, i.e. for any nonzero central projection $z \in \mathcal{P}(Z(M))$ the von Neumann algebra zM is not commutative. In this case, in the von Neumann algebra M, there exists a non-zero projection p such that

$$c(p) = c(\mathbf{1} - p) = \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad p \preceq \mathbf{1} - p,$$

where $c(p) := \mathbf{1} - \sup\{z \in \mathcal{P}(Z(M)) : pz = 0\}$ is the central support of projection p [10, Task 6.1.9].

Let A be an arbitrary solid $*$-subalgebra in $LS(M)$ such that $M \subset A$. Let $p_1 = p$, $p_2 = \mathbf{1} - p$. Consider subalgebras $S_{ij} = p_i A p_j = \{p_i x p_j : x \in A\}$ in A, $i, j = 1, 2$. It is clear that $S_{ik} S_{lj} \subset S_{ij}$ and the inclusion $M \subset A$ implies that $p_i M p_j \subset S_{ij}$ for any $i, j, k, l = 1, 2$. In this case, taking into account the equality

$$x = p_1 x p_1 + p_1 x p_2 + p_2 x p_1 + p_2 x p_2, \quad x \in A,$$

we obtain that

$$A = \sum_{i,j=1,2} p_i A p_j.$$

Moreover, for $x \in S_{ik},\ y \in S_{lj}$ the inclusion $xy \in S_{ij}$ holds, and if $k \neq l$, then $xy = 0$, i.e. in this case, $S_{ik}S_{lj} = 0$.

For the prove Theorem 1 we need some technical lemmas, which are similar to the corresponding lemma in [12], [15]. The proof of these lemmas is similar to those in [12], [15] and are given here for the sake of completeness (in contrast with [12], [15] we also consider the case when $A \neq M$).

Lemma 1. *(see* [15, Lemma 1]*). If $x \in S_{ij}$ and $xy = 0$ for all $y \in S_{jk}$, then $x = 0$.*

Proof. If $j = k$, then for $p_j \in S_{jj}$ we have, that $x = xp_j = 0$. Let $i = j = 1, k = 2$, $x \in S_{11}$ and $xy = 0$ for all $y \in S_{12}$. Since $p_1 = p \preceq \mathbf{1} - p = p_2$ there exists projection $q_1 \leq p_2$ such that $p_1 \sim q_1$, i.e. $u^*u = p_1,\ uu^* = q_1$ for some partial isometry u from M. Taking into account $u^*q_1u = p_1,\ q_1 = q_1p_2,\ x = p_1xp_1$ and inclusion $M \subset A$, we have, that $p_1u^*q_1p_2 \in S_{12}$

$$x = p_1xp_1 = p_1xp_1u^*u = x(p_1u^*q_1p_2)u = 0.$$

For other indices i, j the proof of the lemma is similar.

□

Lemma 2. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and let $L : A \to A$ be a Lie derivation then*

$$\begin{aligned} x(pL(p) + L(p)p + pL(p)p - L(p)) - (pL(p) + L(p)p + pL(p)p - L(p))x = \\ = 3px(pL(p) + L(p)p - L(p)) - 3(pL(p) + L(p)p - L(p))xp \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for every $x \in A$.

The proof is exactly the same as the proof of Lemma 4 in [12].

Lemma 3. *(see* [12, Lemma 5], [15, Lemma 4]*). Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, $c(p) = c(\mathbf{1} - p)$ and let $L : A \to A$ be a Lie derivation. Then $L(p) = [p, a] + z$ for some $a \in A$ and $z \in Z(A)$.*

Proof. Let $p_1 = p,\ p_2 = \mathbf{1} - p,\ S_{ij} = p_iAp_j$. Since $A = \sum\limits_{i,j=1,2} S_{ij}$, then $L(p) = \sum\limits_{i,j=1,2} x_{ij}$, where $x_{ij} \in S_{ij}$. Applying (3.2) for $x \in A$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &x(p(x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22}) + (x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22})p + \\ &+p(x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22})p - (x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22})) - \\ &(p(x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22}) + (x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22})p + \\ &+p(x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22})p - (x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22}))x = \\ &= 3px(p(x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22}) + (x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22})p - \\ &(x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22})) - 3(p(x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22}) + \\ &(x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22})p - (x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{21} + x_{22}))xp. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Since $x_{ij} \in S_{ij},\ i, j = 1, 2,$ it follows that $x_{ij} = p_ix_{ij}p_j$. In particular,

$$px_{1j} = x_{1j},\ x_{i1}p = x_{i1},\ px_{11}p = x_{11},\ px_{ij}p = 0$$

$i \neq 1,\ j \neq 1$. Thus, equality (3.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &x(x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{11} + x_{21} + x_{11} - x_{11} - x_{12} - x_{21} - x_{22}) - \\ &-(x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{11} + x_{21} + x_{11} - x_{11} - x_{12} - x_{21} - x_{22})x = \\ &= 3px(x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{11} + x_{21} - x_{11} - x_{12} - x_{21} - x_{22}) - \end{aligned}$$

$$-3(x_{11}+x_{12}+x_{11}+x_{21}-x_{11}-x_{12}-x_{21}-x_{22})xp.$$

Thus

$$x(2x_{11}-x_{22})-(2x_{11}-x_{22})x=3px(x_{11}-x_{22})-3(x_{11}-x_{22})xp. \tag{3.4}$$

If $x\in S_{12}$, then $x_{22}x=xx_{11}=0$ and $xp=0$, equality (3.4) implies that $x_{11}x=xx_{22}$. Hence,

$$(x_{11}+x_{22})x=x(x_{11}+x_{22}).$$

Similarly, for $x\in S_{21}$ we have that

$$(x_{11}+x_{22})x=x(x_{11}+x_{22}).$$

Now let $x\in S_{11}$ and $y\in S_{12}$. Since $xy\in S_{12}$ it follows that

$$(x_{11}x-xx_{11})y=(x_{11}+x_{22})xy-x(x_{11}+x_{22})y=$$

$$=(x_{11}+x_{22})xy-xy(x_{11}+x_{22})=(x_{11}+x_{22})xy-(x_{11}+x_{22})xy=0,$$

i. e. $(x_{11}x-xx_{11})y=0$ for all $x\in S_{11}$ and $y\in S_{12}$. Since $x_{11}x-xx_{11}\in S_{11}$, it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$(x_{11}+x_{22})x-x(x_{11}+x_{22})=0$$

for all $x\in S_{11}$.

Similarly, in the case of $x\in S_{22}$, we have that

$$(x_{11}+x_{22})x-x(x_{11}+x_{22})=0.$$

Thus,

$$(x_{11}+x_{22})b=b(x_{11}+x_{22})$$

for all $b\in S_{ij}$, where $i,j=1,2$, i.e. $x_{11}+x_{22}=z$ for some $z\in Z(A)$. Hence, $L(p)=(x_{12}+x_{21})+z$. Setting $a=x_{12}-x_{21}$ we obtain that $pa-ap=x_{12}+x_{21}$, and therefore $L(p)=[p,a]+z$. □

Lemma 4. *(see* [12, Lemma 6]*). If p and L are the same as in Lemma 3 and $L(p)\in Z(A)$, then $L(S_{ij})\subset S_{ij}$ for $i\neq j$.*

Proof. Let $x\in S_{12}$ and $L(x)=\sum\limits_{i,j=1,2}x_{ij}$, where $x_{ij}\in S_{ij}$. Since $[p,x]=x$, it follows from the inclusion $L(p)\in Z(A)$, that

$$\sum_{i,j=1,2}x_{ij}=L(x)=L([p,x])=[L(p),x]+[p,L(x)]=[p,L(x)]=x_{12}-x_{21}.$$

Therefore, $x_{11}+2x_{21}+x_{22}=0$, and hence $x_{11}=p(x_{11}+2x_{21}+x_{22})=0$. Thus $2x_{21}+x_{22}=0$, which implies that

$$x_{22}=x_{22}(\mathbf{1}-p)=(2x_{21}+x_{22})(\mathbf{1}-p)=0.$$

Therefore, $x_{22}=0$, and so $x_{21}=0$. Thus $L(x)\in S_{12}$. Similarly, the inclusion $L(S_{21})\subset S_{21}$ is established. □

Lemma 5. *(see* [12, Lemma 7]*). If p and L are the same as in Lemma 3, and $L(p)\in Z(A)$, then $L(S_{ii})\subset S_{ii}+Z(A)$, $i=1,2$.*

Proof. If $x \in S_{11}$ and $L(x) = \sum\limits_{i,j=1,2} x_{ij}$, where $x_{ij} \in S_{ij}$, then

$$x = pxp,\ [p,x] = px - xp = 0,$$

and therefore

$$0 = L([p,x]) = [L(p),x] + [p,L(x)] = [p,L(x)] = x_{12} - x_{21}.$$

Consequently,

$$x_{12} = px_{12} = p(x_{12} - x_{21}) = 0$$

and

$$x_{21} = x_{12} - (x_{12} - x_{21}) = 0,$$

i.e. $L(x) \in S_{11} + S_{22}$. Similarly, if $y \in S_{22}$, then $L(y) \in S_{11} + S_{22}$.

Let now $x \in S_{11}$ and $y \in S_{22}$, $L(x) = a_{11} + a_{22}$, $L(y) = b_{11} + b_{22}$, where $a_{ii}, b_{ii} \in S_{ii}$, $i = 1,2$. From equations $0 = L([x,y]) = [a_{22},y] + [x,b_{11}]$ and $[a_{22},y] \in S_{22}$, $[x,b_{11}] \in S_{11}$, it follows that $[a_{22},y] = 0 = [x,b_{11}]$. In particular, $a_{22}b = ba_{22}$ for all $b \in (\mathbf{1}-p)A(\mathbf{1}-p)$, i. e. $a_{22} \in Z((\mathbf{1}-p)A(\mathbf{1}-p))$.

Let us show that $Z((\mathbf{1}-p)A(\mathbf{1}-p)) = (\mathbf{1}-p)Z(A)$. It is clear that

$$(\mathbf{1}-p)Z(A) \subset Z((\mathbf{1}-p)A(\mathbf{1}-p)).$$

Let $0 \le a \in Z((\mathbf{1}-p)A(\mathbf{1}-p))$, $z = a + (\mathbf{1}-p)$ and let b be an inverse of z in the algebra $(\mathbf{1}-p)LS(M)(\mathbf{1}-p)$. Since $0 \le b \le \mathbf{1}-p$ and $b \in Z((\mathbf{1}-p)A(\mathbf{1}-p))$, it follows that $b \in Z((\mathbf{1}-p)M(\mathbf{1}-p))$. According to [8, Part I, Ch. 2, §1], the equality $Z((\mathbf{1}-p)M(\mathbf{1}-p)) = (\mathbf{1}-p)Z(M)$ holds, and therefore $b = (\mathbf{1}-p)d$, where $d \in Z(M) \subset Z(A)$. Consequently, $b \in (\mathbf{1}-p)Z(A)$ and the operator z is inverse of b in the algebra $(\mathbf{1}-p)LS(M)(\mathbf{1}-p)$ also belongs of the algebra $(\mathbf{1}-p)Z(A)$, that implies $a \in (\mathbf{1}-p)Z(A)$. Thus, $Z((\mathbf{1}-p)A(\mathbf{1}-p)) = (\mathbf{1}-p)Z(A)$. Hence $a_{22} = (\mathbf{1}-p)\,z$, for some $z \in Z(A)$, i.e.

$$L(x) = a_{11} + (\mathbf{1}-p)\,z = (a_{11} - pz) + z \in S_{11} + Z(A).$$

The inclusion $L(S_{22}) \subset S_{22} + Z(A)$ is established similarly. □

Lemmas 4 and 5 imply that in the case, when $L(p) \in Z(A)$, we have that $L(x) \in S_{ij}$ for $x \in S_{ij}$, $i \ne j$ and that $L(x) = d(x) + z(x)$, $x \in S_{ii}$, where $d(x) \in S_{ii}$ and $z(x) \in Z(A)$.

We chose the projection $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ so that the equality $c(p) = c(\mathbf{1}-p) = \mathbf{1}$ holds (a projection exists as a von Neumann algebra M has no direct Abelian summand). In this case, the equality $pAp \cap Z(A) = \{0\}$ holds. Indeed, if there is $0 \ne a \in pAp \cap Z(A)$, then $0 \ne |a| \in pAp \cap Z(A)$ and therefore the operator $|a|$ has non-zero spectral projection $q = \{|a| \ge \epsilon\}$, such that $q \le p$ and $q \in Z(A)$. Hence, $q(\mathbf{1}-p) = 0$, which is impossible by equation $c(\mathbf{1}-p) = \mathbf{1}$. Thus, $pAp \cap Z(A) = \{0\}$.

If $x \in S_{ii}$ and $L(x) = d_1(x) + z_1(x)$, where $d_1(x) \in S_{ii}$, $z_1(x) \in Z(A)$, then

$$d(x) - d_1(x) = z(x) - z_1(x) \in S_{ii} \cap Z(A) = (p_iAp_i) \bigcap Z(A) = \{0\}.$$

Consequently, for each $x \in S_{ii}$ the element $L(x)$ is uniquely represented in the form $L(x) = d(x) + z(x)$, where $d(x) \in S_{ii}$, $z(x) \in Z(A)$.

Thus, when choosing a projector $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ with $c(p) = c(\mathbf{1}-p) = \mathbf{1}$, where $L(p) \in Z(A)$, the map D is correctly defined from

$A = \sum\limits_{i,j=1,2} S_{ij}$ in A by the rule

$$D(x) = d(x_{11}) + d(x_{22}) + L(x_{12} + x_{21}), \tag{3.5}$$

where $x_{ij} = p_i x p_j,\ i,j = 1,2,\ L(x_{12} + x_{21}) \in S_{12} + S_{21}$.

If $x, y \in S_{11}$, then

$$L(x+y) = d(x+y) + z(x+y);$$
$$L(x) = d(x) + z(x);$$
$$L(y) = d(y) + z(y).$$

Since L is a linear map, it follows that

$$d(x+y) + z(x+y) = d(x) + d(y) + z(x) + z(y);$$
$$d(\lambda x) + z(\lambda x) = \lambda(d(x) + z(x)), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since $p_1 A p_1 \cap Z(A) = \{0\}$, it follows that

$$d(x+y) = d(x) + d(y);$$
$$z(x+y) = z(x) + z(y);$$
$$d(\lambda x) = \lambda d(x);$$
$$z(\lambda x) = \lambda z(x).$$

This means that the mapping $d : S_{11} \to S_{11}$ and $z : S_{11} \to Z(A)$ are linear. For the same reasons, these mappings are linear on S_{22}. Thus the map D constructed in (3.5) is a linear operator acting on the solid $*$-subalgebra A. In this case, the map E from A to $Z(A)$, defined by the equality

$$E(x) = L(x) - D(x) = z(x_{11}) + z(x_{22}), \quad x \in A,$$

is also linear. In additional, for $x \in S_{ij},\ i \neq j.$ we have that $E(x) = 0$, i.e. $L(x) = D(x)$.

Lemma 6. *(see* [12, Lemma 9]*). If $x \in S_{ij},\ i \neq j,\ y \in A,$ then*

$$D(xyx) = D(x)yx + xD(y)x + xyD(x).$$

Proof. Since $L(y) = D(y) + E(y)$ and $E(y) \in Z(A)$, it follows that $[x, L(y)] = [x, D(y)]$.

According to the equation

$$[[x,y],x] = [p_i x p_j y - y p_i x p_j, p_i x p_j] = 2xyx \in S_{ij},$$

we have

$$2D(xyx) = L(2xyx) = L([[x,y],x]) = [[L(x),y] + [x,L(y)],x] + [[x,y],L(x)] =$$
$$= [[D(x),y] + [x,D(y)],x] + [[x,y],D(x)] =$$
$$= D(x)yx - yD(x)x - xD(x)y + xyD(x) + xD(y)x - D(y)x^2 -$$
$$-x^2 D(y) + xD(y)x + xyD(x) - yxD(x) - D(x)xy + D(x)yx.$$

Since $x \in S_{ij},\ i \neq j,$ it follows that $x^2 = 0$ and $D(x) = L(x) \in S_{ij}$. Therefore, $D(x)x = 0 = xD(x)$ and $D(xyx) = D(x)yx + xD(y)x + xyD(x)$. □

Lemma 7. *(see* [12, Lemma 10, 11]*). If $x \in S_{ii}$ and $y \in A$, or $x \in A$ and $y \in S_{ii}$, $i = 1, 2$, then*

$$D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. If $x \in S_{11}$ and $y \in S_{12}$, then $xy \in S_{12}$, $[x, y] = xy$, and so

$$D(xy) = L(xy) = L([x, y]) = [D(x) + E(x), y] + [x, D(y) + E(y)] =$$
$$= [D(x), y] + [x, D(y)] = D(x)y + xD(y).$$

For $x \in S_{11}$ and $y \in S_{21}$ we have, that $xy = 0$. Since $D(x) \in S_{11}$ and $D(y) \in S_{21}$, it follows that $D(x)y = 0$, $xD(y) = 0$, which implies that (3.6). For cases $x \in S_{22}$, $y \in S_{ij}$, $i \neq j$ the equality (3.6) is established similarly.

Now let $x, y \in S_{11}$, $z \in S_{12}$. Since $yz \in S_{12}$, according to the arguments above it follows that

$$D(xy)z = D(xyz) - xyD(z) = D(x)yz + xD(yz) - xyD(z) = D(x)yz +$$
$$+x(D(y)z + yD(z)) - xyD(z) = (D(x)y + xD(y))z.$$

Consequently, $(D(xy) - D(x)y - xD(y))z = 0$ for all $z \in S_{12}$. Since $D(x)$, $D(y)$, $D(xy) \in S_{11}$, it follows that $(D(xy) - D(x)y - xD(y)) \in S_{11}$, and from Lemma 1 we have

$$D(xy) - D(x)y - xD(y) = 0.$$

In the case when, $x \in S_{11}$, $y \in S_{22}$, or $x \in S_{22}$, $y \in S_{11}$, we have that $xy = 0$ and $D(x)y + xD(y) = 0$. The case $x, y \in S_{22}$ can be treated similarly to that of $x, y \in S_{11}$.

Thus, equality (3.6) holds for any $x \in S_{ii}$, $y \in S_{kl}$ for all $i, k, l = 1, 2$. Using the equality $A = \sum\limits_{i,j=1,2} S_{ij}$ and linearity of the mapping D, we obtain that the equality (3.6) holds for any $x \in S_{ii}$, $y \in A$, $i = 1, 2$. The equality (3.6) for the case $x \in A$ and $y \in S_{ii}$, $i = 1, 2$ can be established similarly. □

Lemma 8. *(see* [16, Lemma 10]*). The linear mapping D, defined by (3.5) is an associative derivation on A.*

Proof. In the case when $x \in S_{ii}$, $i = 1, 2$, $y \in A$, lemma 8 is proved in Lemma 7. If $x \in S_{12}$ and $y \in S_{21}$, then

$$E([x, y]) = L([x, y]) - D([x, y]) = [L(x), y] + [x, L(y)] - D([x, y]) =$$
$$= [D(x), y] + [x, D(y)] - D(xy) + D(yx).$$

Consequently, for $z = E([x, y]) \in Z(A)$, we have

$$z = D(x)y + xD(y) - D(xy) + D(yx) - D(y)x - yD(x). \tag{3.7}$$

Since

$$(D(x)y + xD(y) - D(xy)) \in S_{11},\ (D(y)x + yD(x) - D(yx)) \in S_{22}$$

and $S_{11} \cap S_{22} = \{0\}$, then in the case of $z = 0$ equation (3.7) implies that

$$D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y).$$

Let us show, that $z = 0$. Suppose that $z \neq 0$. Multiplying the left hand-side of (3.7) by x and then by y, we have that

$$xz = xD(yx) - xD(y)x - xyD(x); \tag{3.8}$$
$$yz = yD(x)y + yxD(y) - yD(xy). \tag{3.9}$$

Since $yx \in S_{22}$, it follows from Lemma 7 that

$$D(xyx) = D(x)yx + xD(yx).$$

From this fact and the equality (3.8), it follows that

$$xz = D(xyx) - D(x)yx - xD(y)x - xyD(x).$$

Now, using Lemma 6, we have that $xz = 0$.

Due to $xy \in S_{11}$ and Lemma 7, we have

$$D(yxy) = D(y)xy + yD(xy).$$

Therefore, from (3.9) and Lemma 6 it follows that

$$yz = yD(x)y + yxD(y) - D(yxy) + D(y)xy =$$

$$yD(x)y + yxD(y) - D(y)xy - yD(x)y - yxD(y) + D(y)xy = 0.$$

Let $x = u|x|$ be the polar decomposition of locally measurable operators $x \in LS(M)$. Since $xz = 0,\ x \in A,\ z \in Z(A),\ M \subset A,$ it follows that

$$|x| = u^*x \in A,\ z|x| = |x|z = u^*xz = 0,$$

Hence

$$|x|z^* = z^*|x| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad xz^* = z^*x = 0.$$

Similarly, using the equality $yz = 0,$ we have that

$$|y|z^* = z^*|y| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad yz^* = z^*y = 0.$$

Multiplying the left hand-side and the right hand-side of (3.7) by z^* we have that

$$z^*zz^* = z^*(D(yx) - D(xy))z^*.$$

Since

$$yx \in S_{22},\ uxz^* = 0 = z^*y$$

then by Lemma 7, we have that

$$0 = D(yx(\mathbf{1} - p)z^*) = D(yx)(\mathbf{1} - p)z^* + yxD((\mathbf{1} - p)z^*) \tag{3.10}.$$

Using $D(yx) \in S_{22}$ and equality (3.10), we have that

$$D(yx)z^* = D(yx)(\mathbf{1} - p)z^* = -yxD((\mathbf{1} - p)z^*).$$

Similarly, $D(xy)z^* = -yxD(pz^*)$. Since $z^*x = 0 = z^*y,$ it follows that

$$z^*zz^* = z^*xyD(pz^*) - z^*yxD((\mathbf{1} - p)z^*) = 0,$$

that implies $|z^*|^2 = zz^*zz^* = 0,$ i. e. $z = 0$. In the case $x \in S_{21}$ and $y \in S_{12}$ the statement of Lemma 8 is proved similarly. □

In particular, Lemma 8 implies that

Corollary 4. *$E([x, y]) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A$.*

Proof. Since the D is an associative derivation (Lemma 8), it follows that D is also a Lie derivation, moreover, $E(x) = L(x) - D(x) \in Z(A)$ for all $x \in A$. Therefore, for any $x, y \in A$ we have

$$L([x, y]) = [D(x) + E(x), y] + [x, D(y) + E(y)] = [D(x), y] + [x, D(y)] = D([x, y]),$$

which implies that $E([x, y]) = 0$.

□

Now we are ready to establish the standard decomposition for the Lie derivation L in the case, when von Neumann algebra M has no direct commutative summands.

Theorem 5. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra without direct commutative summands and let A be a solid $*$-subalgebra in $LS(M)$, containing M. Then any Lie derivation L on A is of the form $L = D + E$, where D is an associative derivation on A and E is a trace on A with values in the center $Z(A)$.*

Proof. Since von Neumann algebra M has no direct commutative summands, there exists a projection $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ such that $c(p) = c(\mathbf{1} - p) = \mathbf{1}$ ([10, Task 6.1.9]). Assume first that $L(p) \in Z(A)$. In this case, according to Lemma 8 and Corollary 4, we have that $L = D + E$, where D is an associative derivation on A and E is a trace on A with values in $Z(A)$.

Now let $L(p) \bar{\in} Z(A)$. By Lemma 3, the equality $L(p) = [p, a] + z$ holds for some $a \in A$ and $z \in Z(A)$. Consider on A the inner derivation $D_a(x) = [a, x]$, $x \in A$, and let $L_1 = L + D_a$. It is clear that L_1 is a Lie derivation on A, moreover

$$L_1(p) = L(p) + D_a(p) = [p, a] + [a, p] + z = z \in Z(A).$$

By Lemma 8 and Corollary 4 the Lie derivation L_1 has the standard form, i.e. $L_1 = D_1 + E_1$, where D_1 is an associative derivation on A and E_1 is a $Z(A)$-valued trace on A. Therefore,

$$L = L_1 - D_a = D_1 - D_a + E_1.$$

It is clear, that $D = D_1 - D_a$ is an associative derivation on A, and consequently the equality $L = D + E_1$ completes the proof of Theorem 5. □

We now consider the case of an arbitrary von Neumann algebra M. We need the following

Lemma 9. *Let M be a arbitrary von Neumann and let A be a $*$-subalgebra in $LS(M)$. If L is a Lie derivation on A then $L(Z(A)) \subset Z(A)$.*

Proof. If $z \in Z(A)$, $a \in A$, then $[z, a] = 0 = [z, L(a)]$, and consequently $[L(z), a] = L([z, a]) - [z, L(a)] = 0$, i.e. $L(z)a = aL(z)$, which implies that $L(z) \in Z(A)$. □

In the von Neumann algebra M we consider the central projection

$$z_0 = sup\{z \in \mathcal{P}(Z(M)) : zM \subset Z(M)\}.$$

It is clear, that $M_0 := z_0 M = z_0 Z(M)$ is a commutative von Neumann algebra, and von Neumann algebra $M_1 = (\mathbf{1} - z_0)M$ has no direct commutative summands, moreover $M = M_1 \bigoplus M_0$.

Let A be a solid $*$-subalgebra in $LS(M)$, containing M, $z_1 = \mathbf{1} - z_0$, $A_1 = z_1 A$ and let L be a Lie derivation on A.

Lemma 10. *(i). $L_1(x) := z_1 L(x)$, $x \in A_1$ is a Lie derivation on the solid $*$-subalgebra A_1 in $LS(M_1)$;*

(ii). The linear mappings $F_1(x) := z_0 L(z_1 x)$, $F_2(x) = z_1 L(z_0 x)$ and $F_3(x) = z_0 L(z_0 x)$ are $Z(A)$-valued traces on A;

(iii). If E_1 is a $Z(A_1)$-valued traces on A_1, then $E(x) := E_1(z_1 x)$, $x \in A$ is a $Z(A)$-valued traces on A;

(iv). If D_1 is an associative derivation on A_1, then $D(x) := D_1(z_1 x)$, $x \in A$ is an associative derivation on A.

Proof. (i). If $x, y \in A_1$, then

$$L_1([x,y]) = z_1 L([x,y]) = z_1([L(x), z_1 y] + [z_1 x, L(y)]) = [L_1(x), y] + [x, L_1(y)].$$

(ii). It is clear, that

$$F_1(x) \in z_0 A \subset LS(M_0) \bigcap A \subset Z(A).$$

If $x, y \in A$, then $z_1[x,y] = [z_1 x, z_1 y]$ and from equality $z_0 z_1 = 0$ it follows that

$$F_1([x,y]) = z_0(L([z_1 x, z_1 y]) = z_0([L(z_1 x),\ z_1 y] + [z_1 x, L(z_1 y)]) = 0,$$

i. e. $F_1(xy) = F_1(yx)$.

Since $z_0 x \in Z(A)$, by Lemma 9, we have that $F_2(x) = z_1 L(z_0 x) \in Z(A)$. Moreover, from $z_0 x, z_0 y \in Z(A)$ it follows that $[z_0 x, z_0 y] = 0$ and

$$F_2([x,y]) = z_1 L(z_0 [x,y]) = z_1 L([z_0 x, z_0 y] = 0,$$

i. e. $F_2(xy) = F_2(yx)$. Similarly we can show that $F_3(xy) = F_3(yx)$.

(iii). For any $x, y \in A$ we have $E(x) \in Z(A_1) \subset Z(A)$ and

$$E(xy) = E_1(z_1 xy) = E_1((z_1 x)(z_1 y)) = E_1((z_1 y)(z_1 x)) = E_1(z_1 yx) = E(yx).$$

(iv). Since $z_1 D_1(a) = D_1(a)$ for any $a \in A_1$ then

$$D(xy) = D_1(z_1 xy) = D_1((z_1 x)(z_1 y)) = D_1(z_1 x)(z_1 y) + z_1 x D_1(z_1 y) =$$

$$D_1(z_1 x)y + x D_1(z_1 y) = D(x)y + x D(y)$$

for all $x, y \in A$. □

Now we will prove Theorem 1. If $x \in A$, then using notations of Lemma 10, we obtain

$$L(x) = z_1 L(z_1 x) + z_1 L(z_0 x) + z_0 L(z_1 x) + z_0 L(z_0 x) =$$

$$L_1(z_1 x) + F_2(x) + F_1(x) + F_3(x).$$

By Theorem 5 and Lemma 10 (i) there exist an associative derivation D_1 on A_1 and a $Z(A_1)$-valued trace E_1 on A_1 such that $L_1(z_1 x) = D_1(z_1 x) + E_1(z_1 x)$ for all $x \in A$.

By Lemma 10 (iii), (iv), the mapping $D(x) = D_1(z_1 x)$ is an associative derivation on A, and a mapping $E(x) = E_1(z_1 x)$ is a $Z(A)$-valued trace on A. Thus,

$$L(x) = D(x) + E(x) + F_1(x) + F_2(x) + F_3(x) \qquad (3.11)$$

for all $x \in A$. Since $E + F_1 + F_2 + F_3$ is a $Z(A)$-valued trace on A (see Lemma 10 (ii)), the equality (3.11) completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

4. Lie derivations on the EW^* algebras.

In this section we give applications of Theorem 1 to the description of Lie derivations on EW^*-algebras. The class of EW^*-algebras (extended W^*-algebras) was introduced in [9] for the purpose of description of $*$-algebras of unbounded closed operators, which are "similar" to W^*-algebras by their algebraic and order properties.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a set of closed, densely defined operators on the Hilbert space H which is a unital $*$-algebra with the identity $\mathbf{1}$ equipped with the strong sum, strong product, the scalar multiplication and the usual adjoint of operators.

A $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be EW^*-algebra if the following conditions hold:

(i). $(\mathbf{1} + x^* x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$;

(ii). A $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_b$ of bounded operators in $\mathcal{A}$ is a von Neumann subalgebra in $B(H)$.

In this case, a $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be EW^*-algebra over von Neumann algebra (or over W^*-algebra) $\mathcal{A}_b$.

The meaningful connection between EW^*-algebras A and solid subalgebras of $LS(A_b)$ is given in [7]. It is clear that every solid $*$-subalgebras A in $LS(M)$ with $M \subset A$ is an EW^*-algebra and $A_b = M$. The converse implication is given in [7], where it is established that every EW^*-algebra A with the bounded part $A_b = M$ is a solid $*$-subalgebra in the $*$-algebra $LS(M)$, that is $LS(M)$ is the greatest EW^*-algebra of EW^*-algebras with the bounded part coinciding with M.

Therefore, the study of derivations in EW^*-algebras is reduced to the study of derivations on solid $*$-subalgebras $A \subset LS(M)$ with $M \subset A$.

In the case when the bounded part A_b of an EW^*-algebra A is a property infinite W^*-algebra we have that any derivation $\delta : A \to LS(A_b)$ is inner [5, Theorem 5].

Now let M be an arbitrary von Neumann algebra, and A be an EW^*-algebra, where $A_b = M$. In this case, as it is mentioned above, A is a solid $*$-subalgebra in $LS(M)$ and $M \subset A$. By Theorem 1, any Lie derivation L, defined on EW^*- algebra A, has a standard form $L = D + E$, where $D : A \to A$ is an associative derivation, $E : A \to Z(A)$ is a center-valued trace on A.

If a von Neumann algebra A is properly infinite, then as it is mentioned above, the derivation D is inner, i.e. $D(x) = [a, x] = D_a(x)$ for all $x \in A$ and some a fixed $a \in A$. Thus we have the following

Theorem 6. *If the bounded part A_b of an EW^*- algebra A is a properly infinite W^*-algebra, then every Lie derivation L on A is equal to $D_a + E$, where $a \in A$ and $E : A \to Z(A)$ is a central-valued trace.*

Let Z be a commutative von Neumann algebra, let H_n be an n-dimensional complex Hilbert space and let $M_n = B(H_n) \bigotimes Z$ be a homogeneous von Neumann algebra of type I_n. The von Neumann algebra M_n is $*$-isomorphic to an $*$-algebra $Mat(n, Z)$ off all $n \times n$-matrix $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ with entries $a_{ij} \in Z$. Since M_n is a finite von Neumann algebra, then $LS(M_n) = S(M_n)$, wherein, $*$-algebra $S(M_n)$ is identified with the $*$-algebra $Mat(n, S(Z))$ of all $n \times n$- matrix with entries from $S(M)$ (see [1]) . If e_{ij} $(i, j = 1, 2, ..., n)$ is the matrix unit of $Mat(n, S(Z))$, then every element $x \in Mat(n, S(Z))$ has the form

$$x = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \lambda_{ij} e_{ij}, \ \lambda_{ij} \in S(Z), \ i, j = 1, 2, .., n.$$

For any derivation $\delta : S(Z) \to S(Z)$ the linear operator

$$D_\delta \Big(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \lambda_{ij} e_{ij} \Big) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \delta(\lambda_{ij}) e_{ij} \tag{4.1}$$

is a derivation on $S(M_n) = Mat(n, S(Z))$ and the restriction of D_δ on the center $Z(S(M_n)) = S(Z)$ coincides with δ. In the case when a commutative von Neumann algebra Z has no atoms there exists an uncountable set of mutually different derivations $\delta : S(Z) \to S(Z)$ (see [4]). Consequently, in this case, there exists an uncountable family of mutually different derivations of the form D_δ on the algebra $Mat(n, S(Z)) = S(M)$.

Now let M be an arbitrary finite von Neumann algebra of type I with the center Z. There exists a family $\{z_n\}_{n\in F}$, $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, of mutually orthogonal central projections from M with $\sup\limits_{n\in F} z_n = \mathbf{1}$ such that the algebra M is $*$ -isomorphic to the direct sum of the von Neumann algebras z_nM of type I_n, $n \in F$, i. e.

$$M \cong \sum_{n\in F} \bigoplus z_nM,$$

and

$$z_nM = B(H_n) \bigotimes L^\infty(\Omega_n, \Sigma_n, \mu_n) \cong Mat(k_n, L^\infty(\Omega_n, \Sigma_n, \mu_n)) =$$
$$= \{(a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n : a_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega_n, \Sigma_n, \mu_n)\}$$

where $k_n = dim(H_n) < \infty$, $(\Omega_n, \Sigma_n, \mu_n)$ is a Maharam measure space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. According to Proposition 1.1 from [1], we have that

$$LS(M) \cong \prod_{n\in F} LS(z_nM),$$

and

$$LS(z_nM) \cong Mat(k_n, L^0(\Omega_n, \Sigma_n, \mu_n)) =$$
$$= \{(\lambda_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{k_n} : \lambda_{ij} \in L^0(\Omega_n, \Sigma_n, \mu_n)\} \cong B(H_n) \bigotimes L^0(\Omega_n, \Sigma_n, \mu_n),$$

where $L^0(\Omega_n, \Sigma_n, \mu_n)$ is an $*$-algebra of all complex measurable functions on $(\Omega_n, \Sigma_n, \mu_n)$ (equal almost everywhere functions are identified).

Suppose that D is a derivation on $LS(M)$ and δ is the restriction of D onto the center $S(Z)$. The restriction of the derivation δ onto $z_nS(Z)$ defines the derivation δ_n on $z_nS(Z)$ for each $n \in F$.

Let D_{δ_n} be a derivation on the matrix algebra $M_{k_n}(z_nZ(LS(M))) \cong LS(z_nM)$, defined by the formula (4.1). Set

$$D_\delta(\{x_n\}_{n\in F}) = \{D_{\delta_n}(x_n)\}, \quad \{x_n\}_{n\in F} \in \prod_{n\in F} S(z_nM) \cong LS(M). \tag{4.2}$$

It is clear that D_δ is a derivation on the algebra $LS(M)$.

If M is an arbitrary von Neumann algebra of type I, then there exists a central projections $z_0 \in M$, such that z_0M is a finite von Neumann algebra and $z_0^\perp M$ is a properly infinite algebra.

Consider derivation D on $LS(M)$ and by δ denote the restriction of D onto the center $Z(LS(M))$. By Theorem 2.7 [1], the derivation z_0D is an inner derivation on $z_0LS(M) = LS(z_0M)$, moreover, $z_0^\perp\delta = 0$, i. e. $\delta = z_0\delta$. Let D_δ be a derivation on $z_0LS(M)$, of the form (4.2). Consider the expanding D_δ onto $LS(M) = z_0LS(M) \bigoplus z_0^\perp LS(M)$, defined as

$$D_\delta(x_1 + x_2) := D_\delta(x_1), \quad x_1 \in z_0LS(M), \quad x_2 \in z_0^\perp LS(M). \tag{4.3}$$

By [1, Theorems 2.8, 3.6], any derivation D on the algebra $LS(M)$ (respectively on the algebra $S(M)$) can be uniquely represented as a sum $D = D_a + D_\delta$, where a is a fixed element of $LS(M)$ (respectively of $S(M)$). This fact and Theorem 1 imply the following result

Corollary 7. *Let M be a type I von Neumann algebra. Then any Lie derivationon on the algebra $LS(M)$ (respectively on the algebra $S(M)$) has the form*

$$L = D_a + D_\delta + E,$$

where D_a is an inner derivation, D_δ is a derivation given by (4.3), generated by the derivation δ in the center of $LS(M)$ (respectively of $S(M)$) and E is a center-valued trace on $LS(M)$ (respectively on $S(M)$).

References

[1] S. Albeverio, Sh. A. Ayupov, K. K. Kudaybergenov, *Structure of derivations on various algebras of measurable operators for type I von Neumann algebras*, J. Func. Anal., 256 (2009), 2917-2943.

[2] A. F. Ber, B. de Pagter and F. A. Sukochev, *Derivations in algebras of operator-valued functions* , J. Operator Theory, 66 (2001), 261-300.

[3] A. F. Ber, V. I. Chilin, F. A. Sukochev, *Non - trivial derivation on commutative regular algebras*, Extracta Math., 21 (2006), 107-147.

[4] A. F. Ber, *Derivations on commutative regular algebras*, Siberian Adv. Math., 21 (2011), 161-169.

[5] A. F. Ber, V. I. Chilin, F. A. Sukochev, *Continious derivations on algebras locally measurable operators are inner*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 109 (2014), 65-89.

[6] S. K. Berberian, *The regular ring of finite AW^*-algebra*, Ann. Math., 65 (1957), 224-240.

[7] V. I. Chilin, B. S. Zakirov, *Abstract charactaization of EW^*-algebras*, Funkts. Anal. Priiozh., 25 (1991), 76-78 (Russian). English translation: Functional Analysis and Its Aplications, 25 (1991).

[8] J. Dixmier, *Von Neumann algebras*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1981.

[9] P. G. Dixon, *Unbounded operator algebras*, Proc. London. Math. Soc., 23 (1971), 53-59.

[10] R.V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras*, Academic Press, I, 1983.

[11] A. G. Kusraev, *Automorphisms and derivations on a universally complete complex f-algebra*, Siberian Math. J., 47 (2006), 77-85.

[12] W. S. Martindale 3 rd, *Lie derivations of primitive rings*, Mich. Math. J., 11 (1964), 183-187.

[13] M. Mathieu and A. R. Villena, *The structure of Lie derivations on C^*-algebras*, J. Func. Anal., 202 (2003), 504-525.

[14] M. A. Muratov, V. I. Chilin, *Algebras of measurable and locally measurable operators*, Pratsi In-ty matematiki NAN Ukraini, Kyiv, 69 (2007). 390 pp. (Russian).

[15] C. Robert Miers, *Lie derivations of von Neumann algebras*, Duke Math. J., 40 (1973), 403-409.

[16] C. Robert Miers, *Lie triply derivations of von Neumann agebras*, Proc. Amer. Math-Soc., 71 (1978), 57-61.

[17] K. Saito, *On the algebra of measureble operators for a general AW*-algebras, I.* Tohoku Math.J., 21 (1969), 249-270.

[18] K. Saito, *On the algebra of measureble operators for a general AW*-algebras, II.* Tohoku Math.J., 23 (1971), 525-534.

[19] S. Sakai, *C^* - Algebras and W^*- Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1971 .

[20] S. Sankaran, *The $*$-algebra of unbounded operators*, J. London Math. Soc., 34 (1959), 337-344.

[21] I. Segal, *A non-commutative extention of abstract integration*, Ann. Math., 57 (1953), 401-457.

[22] S. Stratila, L. Zsido, *Lectures on von Neumann algebras*, England Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, 1979.

[23] F. J. Yeadon, *Convergence of measurable operators*, Proc. Cambridge Phis. Soc., 74 (1973), 257-268.

National University of Uzbekistan, 100174, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
E-mail address: vladimirchil@gmail.com; chilin@ucd.uz

Bukhara State University, 100200, Bukhara, Uzbekistan
E-mail address: ijmo64@mail.ru